

Date submitted (Alaskan Standard Time): 11/12/2019 6:38:56 PM

First name: katherine

Last name: howard

Organization:

Title:

Comments:

My name is Katherine Howard and I live in Angoon, Alaska. I've been in Alaska all my life of 34 years. I was born in Sitka ak, and raised IN ANGOON AK, I value the pristine forest since my grandfather paul James sr started to talk for it with his peers down in d.c. and got the ballot passed back then, I value fresh food like fish, and berries, fish need pristineness for being able to eat also, from freshwaters, the war on food and packaged food is real,,,,, and the berries need good old trees too. the deer and the bears aND ALL LIFE VALUE COME FROM PRISTINESS OF THE TONGASS, LORAX UNLESS. I am writing a comment on the Alaska Roadless Rule DEIS because I am concerned with how the Rule and the proposed full exemption will impact my fishing, hunting, subsistence harvesting, foraging for wild foods, the peace and solitude I find in nature, recreating, practicing my culture, the status of the Tongass as a national and global treasure, the forest's ability to sequester carbon and mitigate climate change impacts, the conservation of resources for future generations .

Out of the alternatives described in the AKRR DEIS I support alternative 1: no action. It protects important fish and wildlife habitat from clearcutting and roadbuilding. I depend on roadless areas in the Tongass National Forest for foraging and gathering wild foods, keeping public lands wild for future generations. A full exemption does not protect these values, nor does it effectively balance economic development and conservation of roadless area characteristics. A full exemption from the Roadless Rule and increased logging and roadbuilding will negatively impact the Tongass and what I and many others use and depend on the forest to provide for us.

The Roadless areas on the Tongass that are especially important to me are those on or around all of the inventoried roadless areas on the Tongass Admiralty Island. I want the roadless areas in these locations to stay in roadless status in any alternative selected by the Forest Service, and be managed to provide for the uses and activities I listed above. It is important to me that the T77 and the TNC conservation priority areas retain their roadless protections.

I do not support the Forest Services preferred alternative of a full exemption. A full exemption is not in the interests of Southeast Alaskans who live in and use the Tongass National Forest, because These roadless areas have a HUGE value for EVERYONE as they are. But they need to be treated with respect and care, not irresponsibly harvested. An exemption would hurt a lot of people here in southeast ak. These lands need to be inherited by our children intact.. The State of Alaska says that a full exemption is needed for rural economic development opportunities. However, a full exemption would not help create more rural economic development opportunities, it would instead harm our existing rural economies that are based on the visitor industry and commercial fishing industry.

It would further harm rural economic opportunities because pursuing the same outdated economic model of old growth clearcut harvesting for export stifles innovation and possibility in other sectors, such as mariculture, sustainable young growth harvest, and rural agriculture. If the Forest Service wants to support rural economic development, they should devote resources to support our fishing and visitor industries.

I urge the Forest Service to prioritize the voices of Southeast Alaskans over those of our political representation and corporate interests. Choosing a full exemption will not create a long lasting, durable solution for roadless areas on the Tongass. It will only increase the legal challenges, uncertainty for businesses, and conflict on the Tongass going forward.