

Date submitted (Alaskan Standard Time): 10/31/2018 4:56:14 PM

First name: John

Last name: bowie

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1:

Address2:

City: Anchorage

State: AK

Province/Region:

Zip/Postal Code: 99517

Country: United States

Email: bowie.cgi@gmail.com

Phone:

Comments:

I'd like to state strongly that I disagree with any additional "wilderness" areas. I think cutting out any additional motorized corridors would be extremely unfair to multiple user groups. Alternatives c and d are completely unacceptable. Even alternative b cuts out entirely way to much front range that we can hardly access anyway. What we need are more access points in the limited teas we already have. If we had a higher access point at lost lake even just 100' higher we could ride there in November. I'm always commuting from anchorage when I get a chance to ride, the chugach is my heart and soul. All the other open riding areas are way further out of reach and would take away tremendously from my well being. In the darkest months the trips up north take way to long. These dark months are when it's most important to get out and not hermit up. Pushing my out of the chugach would forever leave me in appeal to getting it back. My areas consist of 20 mile, skookum/placer, turnagain pass, Whittier, lost lake, snug harbor, Johnson's pass. These areas are already conflicted with low snow years and has pushed usergroups into bottle neck days whenever any areas seen fresh snow and good visability.

I think the Chugach National Forest has done a great job managing and shouldn't push for such large changes. I believe in Alternative A and would beg for additional motorized access points.