

Date submitted (Alaskan Standard Time): 10/30/2018 8:41:34 PM

First name: Gage

Last name: Schaack

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1:

Address2:

City:

State:

Province/Region:

Zip/Postal Code:

Country: United States

Email: gageschaack@gmail.com

Phone:

Comments:

As an avid snowmachine rider, I feel that alternative B is the best choice for a plan. Plan C and D are the worst choices and will affect us riders the most. Motorized use areas is already very limited comparing to non-motorized areas. And to further decrease the size of area able to be used by motorized vehicles would be detrimental to the snowmachine riding community. I understand the worry motorized vehicles will leave an unwanted footprint on the lands, but the off-road vehicles use have come a long way with technology and are more environmentally friendly. As for the riders using these vehicles they don't want to harm the environment just as much as anyone else. Everyone is very big on staying designated trails to riding zone allow motorized vehicles. I personally haven't been able to ride my sled in this area yet. I've been wanted to get down there to. So I'd really hate to see the riding zones decrease in size before I get to ride and really appreciate the areas, backdrops, and terrain that is offered in these areas.