

Date submitted (Eastern Standard Time): 3/26/2018 1:10:37 PM

First name: Darryl

Last name: Marsh

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1: 6423 Montgomery Rd

Address2:

City: Cincinnati

State: OH

Province/Region:

Zip/Postal Code: 45213

Country: United States

Email: darryl@darrylmarsh.com

Phone: 5139676179

Comments:

Dear Supervisor Olsen,

I agree with the below comment from Kentucky Heartwood and share all of their concerns.

Additionally, while I do not live in Kentucky, I choose to spend much of my time in and near the Daniel Boone National Forest as a lover of the outdoors, our nature's beauty, and as a volunteer interpretive cave guide for regional youth groups. I have seen first hand the decline in the bat population, both below and above ground, including the Indiana and northern long-eared bats, that rely on the Daniel Boone National Forest for roosting. Please enact sound measures that expand potential roosting spots, not remove them.

Thank you,

Darryl Marsh

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments on the proposed Forest Plan Amendment with regards to Indiana bats and other federally listed species. The following comments are being submitted on behalf of Kentucky Heartwood and the Center for Biological Diversity.

To begin with, we have no immediate concerns with the proposal to update definitions in order to bring the Forest Plan in to alignment with current U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) terminology. This is reasonable and prudent. We do have concerns regarding changes to restrictions or parameters in the Forest Plan affecting vegetation management, and logging in particular. The removal or reduction of protective measures with regards to Indiana and northern long-eared bat maternity colonies are particularly worrisome. Both of these species of bats are in sharp decline, and immediately imperiled. The possibility that the Daniel Boone National Forest would change Forest Plan standards in such a way as to increase the probability of impacting or destroying a maternity colony is not something that we find acceptable. The loss of a single maternity colony at this juncture could be catastrophic.

The following are questions and concerns that should be addressed in the environmental analysis for the Plan Amendment:

- 1) What are the current protocols for identifying maternity colonies or other active roosts? When during planning and harvest operations are surveys made, and by whom? What training is received by personnel to identify active roosts?
- 2) How often have maternity colonies been found in project areas? Following the identification of Indiana (and northern long-eared) bats, how did the Forest Service modify or delay specific projects and operations in order to comply with Forest Plan Standards? Please be specific.
- 3) Please provide ample scientific evidence demonstrating that the newly proposed Forest Plan standards (e.g., changes to basal area standards, snag retention, seasonal harvest restrictions relating to habitat occupancy, etc.) are more or as protective for federally-listed bat species as the current plan standards. It does not appear to us that they are.

We expect the Forest Service to commit to a thorough, detailed, and reasoned analysis with regards to any changes to Indiana and northern long-eared bat management on the Daniel Boone National Forest. Any changes to the Forest Plan should be firmly rooted in evidence that future management will be as or more protective of Indiana and northern long-eared bats as the current procedures.