

Date submitted (UTC): 3/14/2016 4:50:22 PM

First name: Pendleton Public Meeting Notes

Last name: Anon

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1:

Address2:

City:

State:

Province/Region:

Zip/Postal Code:

Country: United States

Email:

Phone:

Comments:

Pendleton, OR ? March 10, 2016

Attendance: 23

Forest Management

[Question posed to the group] A large part of the landscape is overstocked with a combination of small to large diameter trees. What does the landscape need and what can the Forest Service do to achieve that?

- ? Uneven age material, follow nature's lead
- ? Diversity and mosaic on the landscape with old growth
- ? Promote large trees of favorable species
- ? Manage for open park-like settings
- ? Small openings, normal stocking
- ? Creating markets for small trees (bio-char for instance)
- ? Cut large and small trees out
- ? Open tree canopy to allow understory species, shrubs and grasses
- ? Increased heterogeneity of pattern
- ? Cannot use a one size fits all management approach
- ? Use commercial and non-commercial thinning combined with prescribed burning
- ? Explore economic opportunities

Fire

[Question posed to the group] How would you suggest the agency manage the forest landscape to allow for less destructive wildfire events to occur?

- ? Logging and pre-commercial thinning
- ? Chipping
- ? Weed control- fuel overload (needs fuel reduction treatments)
- ? Amount of smoke associated with the pace and scale of project. Follow Oregon Smoke Management

Plan

- ? More spring-time burning (less competition in the spring)
- ? Focus burning activities away from communities during the fall
- ? Beetle kill- huge amount of material left that needs removed
- ? Mosaic pattern helpful in controlling wildfire
- ? Reduce ladder fuels to keep fire out of tree canopies
- ? Biochar as treatment option- no smoke
- ? Prescribed burning (less smoke)

Communities

[Question posed to the group] As a member of the community, what do you believe our top priority should be for this landscape-scale restoration project?

- ? Post-action condition, leave in same or better condition as pre-treatment
- ? Increased jobs
- ? Aesthetics/views
- ? Wood products- with a balance of commercial and non-commercial
- ? Reducing the risk of uncharacteristic wildfire
- ? Protecting private land and communities
- ? Grazing
- ? Opening up or improving habitats to move elk back to public lands. Timely/seasonal closures of

access for elk habitat

? Reduce unwanted impacts to private landowners and reduce unwanted impacts to National Forest infrastructure

? Reduce the risk to public and firefighter safety

? Opening up habitats to move other wildlife back to public lands

? Getting the restoration message out

? Recreation

? Water quality

? Sediment in streams

? Huckleberry picking

? Future forest sustainability and diversity

Wildlife, Aquatics and other Sensitive Habitats

[Question posed to the group] What fish and/or wildlife species and habitats are important for us to consider when planning the Forest Resiliency Project activities? What conditions do you see benefiting these species and their habitats?

? Mosaic patterns with islands

? Amphibian migration routes

? Butterfly habitat

? Timing of treatments to nesting/breeding migration

? Continued treatments/intervals

? Consider long term resiliency for stream bank species/shade (climate change)

? Pay attention to existing species and adjust habitat to meet their needs

? Air quality

? Elk damage on private land- get big game back on the Forest (and associated species like predators)

? Riparian area restoration

? Thinning will improve habitat for nesting species

? Early seral and open canopy habitats

? Wildlife movement corridors